

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,467	08/07/2003	Alejandro Wiechers	200207420-1	7654	
22879 7590 03/19/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS. CO 80527-2400			RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOTT COLLE	10, 00 00027 2100		2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/635,467	WIECHERS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	е а
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	

- | The Judgosed antendrienting line dieter a man rejection, but pino for deader on lining a brief, with line in the dieter of the line in the line in
- NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_ (See 37 CFR 1.118 and 41.33(a))

  4. \_\_\_\_ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

  5. \_\_\_\_ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

  7. So For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
- I. Man for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \_\_\_ will not be entered, or b) Man will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 1-6.8-10 and 12-15.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \( \bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/King Y. Poon/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph with respect to claims 1-5 and 12-13.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Schorr does not disclose an automated prelight module at the designer location "automatically establishing a link to the print evice provider location" has been fully considered, in response examiner wants to point out that the system of Schorr is interconnected over a network such as the Internet, since it say the system is interconnected it is becomes clear that an automatic link between the print client and service provider is indeed established when the client request for the service. Applicant's argument that Applicant explicitly claimed that the automated prelight module is located "at the designer location". Schorr's analyzer 111, which is described in column 3, lines 10-29 cited by the Examiner, is not located at the designer location, has been fully considered, in response the analyzer its being used at the system location accessed by the client in order to perform the remote proofing as explained by the previous catations column 3, lines 10-29.

Continuation of 13. Other: The IDS submitted has not been considered because it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97.